

Encodability and Separation for a Reflective Higher-Order Calculus

Stian Lybech

Reykjavík University

What is a name?

What is a name?

“Assume a *countably infinite* set \mathcal{N} of *atomic* entities $x, y, z \dots$ ”

What is a name?

*“Assume a **countably infinite** set \mathcal{N} of **atomic** entities $x, y, z \dots$ ”*

But is that a reasonable assumption?

The π -calculus

$P ::= 0$	nil
$P_1 \mid P_2$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output (async)
$!P$	replication
$(\nu x)P$	restriction

Agenda

- The ρ -calculus

Agenda

- The ρ -calculus
- How **not** to encode the π -calculus

Agenda

- The ρ -calculus
- How not to encode the π -calculus
- How to **correctly** encode the π -calculus

Agenda

- The ρ -calculus
- How not to encode the π -calculus
- How to correctly encode the π -calculus
- Why the converse is impossible

The Reflective Higher-Order Calculus

(Meredith & Radestock, 2005)

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output
$!P$	replication
$(\nu x)P$	restriction

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output
$!P$	replication
$(\nu x)P$	restriction

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output
$!P$	replication
$x ::= \ulcorner P \urcorner$	quote

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output
$!P$	replication
$x ::= \ulcorner P \urcorner$	quote

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output
$\ulcorner x \urcorner$	drop
$x ::= \ulcorner P \urcorner$	quote

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$\bar{x}\langle z \rangle$	output
$\ulcorner x \urcorner$	drop
$x ::= \ulcorner P \urcorner$	quote

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= \mathbf{0}$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$x(y).P$	input
$x \langle P \rangle$	lift
$\ulcorner x \urcorner$	drop
$x ::= \ulcorner P \urcorner$	quote

From π -calculus to ρ -calculus

$P ::= 0$	nil
$P \mid P$	parallel
$\ulcorner P \urcorner (\ulcorner P \urcorner).P$	input
$\ulcorner P \urcorner \langle P \rangle$	lift
$\ulcorner \ulcorner P \urcorner \urcorner$	drop

Example 1

$$x \langle P \rangle \mid x(y). (y \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \mid Q \rangle \mid \ulcorner y \urcorner)$$

Example 1

$$x \langle P \rangle \mid x(y). (y \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \mid Q \rangle \mid \ulcorner y \urcorner)$$

Example 1

$$x \langle P \rangle \mid x(y). (y \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \mid Q \rangle \mid \ulcorner y \urcorner)$$

Example 1

$$\begin{aligned} & x \langle P \rangle \mid x(y). (y \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \mid Q \rangle \mid \ulcorner y \urcorner) \\ \rightarrow & (y \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \mid Q \rangle \mid \ulcorner y \urcorner) \{ \ulcorner P \urcorner / y \} \end{aligned}$$

Example 1

$$\begin{aligned} & x \langle P \rangle \mid x(y). (y \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \mid Q \rangle \mid \ulcorner y \urcorner) \\ \rightarrow & \ulcorner P \urcorner \langle P \mid Q \rangle \mid P \end{aligned}$$

Definition (Reflection)

Why *reflective*?

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can

- Turn **code** into **data**

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can

- Turn code into data
- **compute** with it

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can

- Turn code into data
- compute with it
- even **modify** it

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can

- Turn code into data
- compute with it
- even modify it
- and **restantiate** it as running code

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can *communicate*

- Turn code into data
- compute with it
- even modify it
- and reinstantiate it as running code

Definition (Reflection)

When a program is *reflective* it can *communicate*

- Turn code into data
- compute with it
- ~~even modify it~~
- and reinstantiate it as running code

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ = & x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &= x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &= x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$!P \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle P \mid D(x) \rangle$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &= x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$!P \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle P \mid D(x) \rangle \rightarrow^* P \mid P \mid \dots \mid !P$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &= x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$!P \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle P \mid D(x) \rangle$$

$$!u(v).P \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle u(v).(P \mid D(x)) \rangle$$

Example 2: Replication

$$D(x) \triangleq x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &= x(y).(\ulcorner y \urcorner \mid x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow D(x) \mid x \langle D(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} !P &\triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle P \mid D(x) \rangle \\ !u(v).P &\triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle u(v).(P \mid D(x)) \rangle \rightarrow \\ u(v).(P \mid D(x)) &\mid x \langle u(v).(P \mid D(x)) \rangle \not\rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Semantics

$$[\text{PAR}] \frac{P_1 \rightarrow P'_1}{P_1 \mid P_2 \rightarrow P'_1 \mid P_2}$$

$$[\text{STRUCT}] \frac{P_1 \equiv P'_1 \quad P'_1 \rightarrow P'_2 \quad P'_2 \equiv P_2}{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}$$

$$[\text{COM}] \frac{x_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2}{x_1 \langle P_1 \rangle \mid x_2(y).P_2 \rightarrow P_2 \{ \ulcorner P_1 \urcorner / y \}}$$

Semantics

$$[\text{PAR}] \frac{P_1 \rightarrow P'_1}{P_1 \mid P_2 \rightarrow P'_1 \mid P_2}$$

$$[\text{STRUCT}] \frac{P_1 \equiv P'_1 \quad P'_1 \rightarrow P'_2 \quad P'_2 \equiv P_2}{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}$$

$$[\text{COM}] \frac{x_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2}{x_1 \langle P_1 \rangle \mid x_2(y).P_2 \rightarrow P_2 \{ \ulcorner P_1 \urcorner / y \}}$$

Semantics

$$[\text{PAR}] \frac{P_1 \rightarrow P'_1}{P_1 \mid P_2 \rightarrow P'_1 \mid P_2}$$

$$[\text{STRUCT}] \frac{P_1 \equiv P'_1 \quad P'_1 \rightarrow P'_2 \quad P'_2 \equiv P_2}{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}$$

$$[\text{COM}] \frac{x_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2}{x_1 \langle P_1 \rangle \mid x_2(y).P_2 \rightarrow P_2 \{ \ulcorner P_1 \urcorner / y \}}$$

$$[\text{N-DROP}] \frac{x_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2}{\ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2}$$

$$[\text{N-STRUCT}] \frac{P_1 \equiv P_2}{\ulcorner P_1 \urcorner \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} \ulcorner P_2 \urcorner}$$

Encoding the π -calculus

- We can encode output: $x\langle y \rangle \triangleq x \langle \ulcorner y \urcorner \rangle$
- We can statically compose names:
 - $+x \triangleq \ulcorner x \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \urcorner$
 - $x+ \triangleq \ulcorner x (\ulcorner \mathbf{0} \urcorner) . \mathbf{0} \urcorner$
 - $x \cdot y \triangleq \ulcorner x \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \mid y (\ulcorner \mathbf{0} \urcorner) . \mathbf{0} \urcorner$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle y \rangle \rrbracket_{n,p} = x \langle y \rangle$$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle y \rangle \rrbracket_{n,p} = x \langle y \rangle$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,p} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p}$$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle y \rangle \rrbracket_{n,p} = x \langle y \rangle$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,p} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,p} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{+n,+p}$$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle y \rangle \rrbracket_{n,p} = x \langle y \rangle$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,p} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,p} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{n+,p+}$$

$$\llbracket (\nu x)P \rrbracket_{n,p} = p(x). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid p \langle n \rangle$$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle y \rangle \rrbracket_{n,p} = x \langle y \rangle$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,p} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,p} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{n+,p+}$$

$$\llbracket (\nu x)P \rrbracket_{n,p} = p(x). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid p \langle n \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket !P \rrbracket_{n,p} = n \cdot p \langle n+(n).p+(p).(\llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p} \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle n \langle n \rangle \rangle \mid p+ \langle p \langle p \rangle \rangle) \rangle \rangle \\ \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle +n \rangle \mid p+ \langle +p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The encoding by Meredith & Radestock

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle y \rangle \rrbracket_{n,p} = x \langle y \rangle$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,p} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,p} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{n+,p+}$$

$$\llbracket (\nu x)P \rrbracket_{n,p} = p(x). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid p \langle n \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket !P \rrbracket_{n,p} = n \cdot p \langle & n+(n).p+(p) \cdot (\llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p} \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle n \langle n \rangle \rangle \mid p+ \langle p \langle p \rangle \rangle) \rangle \\ & \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle +n \rangle \mid p+ \langle +p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Claim (Meredith & Radestock):

$$P_1 \approx P_2 \iff \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket \approx^{\text{fn}(P_1) \cup \text{fn}(P_2)} \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket$$

where $P \downarrow^{\mathcal{N}} x_1 \triangleq x_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2 \wedge x_2 \in \mathcal{N} \wedge P \downarrow x_2$

Claim (Meredith & Radestock):

$$P_1 \approx P_2 \iff \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket \approx^{\text{fn}(P_1) \cup \text{fn}(P_2)} \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket$$

where $P \downarrow^{\mathcal{N}} x_1 \triangleq x_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{N}} x_2 \wedge x_2 \in \mathcal{N} \wedge P \downarrow x_2$

Unfortunately, that is **not** correct...

Intuitions

Intuitions

$$\llbracket !P \rrbracket_{n,p} = n \cdot p \langle n+ (n) . p+ (p) . (\llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p} \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle n \langle n \rangle \rangle \mid p+ \langle p \langle p \rangle \rangle) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle +n \rangle \mid p+ \langle +p \rangle$$

Intuitions

$$\llbracket !P \rrbracket_{n,p} = n \cdot p \langle n + (n) . p + (p) . (\llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p} \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n + \langle n \langle n \rangle \rangle \mid p + \langle p \langle p \rangle \rangle) \rangle$$

$$\mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n + \langle +n \rangle \mid p + \langle +p \rangle$$

$$\llbracket (\nu x)P \rrbracket_{n,p} = p(x) . \llbracket P \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid p \langle n \rangle$$

Intuitions

$$\llbracket !P \rrbracket_{n,p} = n \cdot p \langle n+ (n) . p+ (p) . (\llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,p} \mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle n \langle n \rangle \rangle \mid p+ \langle p \langle p \rangle \rangle) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\mid D(n \cdot p) \mid n+ \langle +n \rangle \mid p+ \langle +p \rangle$$

$$\llbracket (\nu x)P \rrbracket_{n,p} = p(x) . \llbracket P \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid p \langle n \rangle$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,p} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,+p} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{n+,p+}$$

A correct encoding

A correct encoding

$$!N(x, z, v, s) \triangleq D(x) \mid x \left\langle z(a).v(r). \left(D(x) \mid r \langle \ulcorner a \urcorner \rangle \mid z \langle a \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \rangle \right) \right\rangle \mid z \langle \ulcorner s \urcorner \rangle$$

A correct encoding

$$!N(x, z, v, s) \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle z(a).v(r). (D(x) \mid r \langle \ulcorner a \urcorner \rangle \mid z \langle a \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \rangle) \rangle \rangle \mid z \langle \ulcorner s \urcorner \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,v} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,v} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{+n,v}$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,v} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,v}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle z \rangle \rrbracket_{n,v} = x \langle z \rangle$$

$$\llbracket (vx)P \rrbracket_{n,v} = v \langle n \rangle \mid n(x). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n-n,v}$$

$$\llbracket !x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,v} = D(n) \mid n \langle x(y). (D(n) \mid \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n-n,v}) \rangle$$

A correct encoding

$$!N(x, z, v, s) \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle z(a).v(r). (D(x) \mid r \langle \ulcorner a \urcorner \rangle \mid z \langle a \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \rangle) \rangle \rangle \mid z \langle \ulcorner s \urcorner \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,v} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,v} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{n+,v}$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,v} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,v}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle z \rangle \rrbracket_{n,v} = x \langle z \rangle$$

$$\llbracket (vx)P \rrbracket_{n,v} = v \langle n \rangle \mid n(x). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n-n,v}$$

$$\llbracket !x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,v} = D(n) \mid n \langle x(y). (D(n) \mid \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n-n,v}) \rangle$$

A correct encoding

$$!N(x, z, v, s) \triangleq D(x) \mid x \langle z(a).v(r). (D(x) \mid r \langle \ulcorner a \urcorner \rangle \mid z \langle a \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \rangle) \rangle \rangle \mid z \langle \ulcorner s \urcorner \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{0} \rrbracket_{n,v} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\llbracket P_1 \mid P_2 \rrbracket_{n,v} = \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket_{+n,v} \mid \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket_{n+,v}$$

$$\llbracket x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,v} = x(y). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n,v}$$

$$\llbracket \bar{x} \langle z \rangle \rrbracket_{n,v} = x \langle z \rangle$$

$$\llbracket (vx)P \rrbracket_{n,v} = v \langle n \rangle \mid n(x). \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n-n,v}$$

$$\llbracket !x(y).P \rrbracket_{n,v} = D(n) \mid n \langle x(y). (D(n) \mid \llbracket P \rrbracket_{n-n,v}) \rangle$$

Correctness criteria

Correctness criteria

- **Compositionality:** $\llbracket S_1 \mid \dots \mid S_n \rrbracket_N = C \mid \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket_{N_1} \mid \dots \mid \llbracket S_n \rrbracket_{N_n}$
where C is some context and $\text{fn}(C) \subseteq \varphi(\text{fn}(S_1 \mid \dots \mid S_n)) \cup N$, and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $N \rightsquigarrow N_i$.

Correctness criteria

- **Compositionality:** $\llbracket S_1 \mid \dots \mid S_n \rrbracket_N = C \mid \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket_{N_1} \mid \dots \mid \llbracket S_n \rrbracket_{N_n}$
where C is some context and $\text{fn}(C) \subseteq \varphi(\text{fn}(S_1 \mid \dots \mid S_n)) \cup N$, and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $N \rightsquigarrow N_i$.
- **Substitution invariance:** $\llbracket S\sigma_s \rrbracket_N \simeq \llbracket S \rrbracket_N \sigma_t$ for each σ_s , where $\varphi(\sigma_s(x)) = \sigma_t(\varphi(x))$.

Correctness criteria

- **Compositionality:** $\llbracket S_1 \mid \dots \mid S_n \rrbracket_N = C \mid \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket_{N_1} \mid \dots \mid \llbracket S_n \rrbracket_{N_n}$
where C is some context and $\text{fn}(C) \subseteq \varphi(\text{fn}(S_1 \mid \dots \mid S_n)) \cup N$, and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $N \rightsquigarrow N_i$.
- **Substitution invariance:** $\llbracket S\sigma_s \rrbracket_N \simeq \llbracket S \rrbracket_N \sigma_t$ for each σ_s , where $\varphi(\sigma_s(x)) = \sigma_t(\varphi(x))$.
- **Operational correspondence:** $S \rightarrow^* S' \iff \exists T' . \llbracket S \rrbracket_N \rightarrow^* T' \wedge T' \simeq \llbracket S' \rrbracket_{N'}$
and $N \rightsquigarrow N'$.

Correctness criteria (cont.)

- Observational correspondence: We require that $N \cap \varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$ for any set of observable names \mathcal{M} . Then $P \Downarrow^{\mathcal{M}} \hat{x} \iff \llbracket P \rrbracket_N \Downarrow^{\varphi(\mathcal{M})} \varphi(\hat{x})$.

Correctness criteria (cont.)

- Observational correspondence: We require that $N \cap \varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$ for any set of observable names \mathcal{M} . Then $P \downarrow^{\mathcal{M}} \hat{x} \iff \llbracket P \rrbracket_N \Downarrow^{\varphi(\mathcal{M})} \varphi(\hat{x})$.
- Divergence reflection: $\llbracket P \rrbracket_N \rightarrow^{\omega} \implies P \rightarrow^{\omega}$.

Correctness criteria (cont.)

- Observational correspondence: We require that $N \cap \varphi(\mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$ for any set of observable names \mathcal{M} . Then $P \downarrow^{\mathcal{M}} \hat{x} \iff \llbracket P \rrbracket_N \Downarrow^{\varphi(\mathcal{M})} \varphi(\hat{x})$.
- Divergence reflection: $\llbracket P \rrbracket_N \rightarrow^{\omega} \implies P \rightarrow^{\omega}$.
- Parameter independence: $\llbracket P \rrbracket_{N_1} \simeq \llbracket P \rrbracket_{N_2}$ for each finite N_1, N_2 .

A separation result

The π -calculus cannot encode the ρ -calculus

Some intuitions

Some intuitions

$$u \triangleq \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \urcorner x_2 \urcorner$$

Some intuitions

$$u \triangleq \ulcorner \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \urcorner$$

$$P \triangleq a \langle \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \rangle \mid a(n).n \langle 0 \rangle$$

Some intuitions

$$u \triangleq \ulcorner \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \urcorner$$

$$P \triangleq a \langle \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \rangle \mid a(n).n \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle$$

Thus: $P \not\ll u$ and $u \notin \text{fn}(P)$

Some intuitions

$$u \triangleq \ulcorner \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \urcorner$$

$$P \triangleq a \langle \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \rangle \mid a(n).n \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle$$

Thus: $P \not\Downarrow u$ and $u \notin \text{fn}(P)$

However: $P \rightarrow \ulcorner \ulcorner x_1 \urcorner \mid \ulcorner x_2 \urcorner \urcorner \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle = u \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle$ and $u \langle \mathbf{0} \rangle \Downarrow u$.

So we have just created a new, **free and observable** name u !

So we have just created a new, **free and observable** name u !

But the π -calculus cannot create new **free** names...

Conclusions

So what have we learned?

- Higher-order behaviour = reflection without modification
- The ρ -calculus *can* encode the π -calculus (modulo the criteria...)
- The π -calculus *cannot* encode the ρ -calculus (modulo the same criteria...)
- Names with *structure* requires some care
... especially with *parametrised* encodings!